

Enumerating Pattern Matches in Texts and Trees

Antoine Amarilli¹, Pierre Bourhis², Stefan Mengel³, Matthias Niewerth⁴ October 11th, 2018

¹Télécom ParisTech

²CNRS CRIStAL

³CNRS CRIL

⁴Universität Bayreuth

• We have a **long text** *T*:

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: a3nm. Identity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP a3nm@a3nm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. More Résumé Location Other sites Blogging: a3nm.net/blog Git: a3nm.net/git ...

• We have a **long text** *T*:

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: a3nm. Identity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP a3nm@a3nm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. More Résumé Location Other sites Blogging: a3nm.net/blog Git: a3nm.net/git ...

- We want to find a **pattern P** in the text **T**:
 - \rightarrow Example: find **email addresses**

• We have a **long text** *T*:

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: a3nm. Identity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP a3nm@a3nm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. More Résumé Location Other sites Blogging: a3nm.net/blog Git: a3nm.net/git ...

- We want to find a **pattern P** in the text **T**:
 - \rightarrow Example: find **email addresses**
 - Write the pattern as a regular expression:

$$P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$$

• We have a **long text** *T*:

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: a3nm. Identity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP a3nm@a3nm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. More Résumé Location Other sites Blogging: a3nm.net/blog Git: a3nm.net/git ...

- We want to find a **pattern P** in the text **T**:
 - \rightarrow Example: find **email addresses**
 - Write the pattern as a regular expression:

$$P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$$

\rightarrow How to find the pattern *P* efficiently in the text *T*?

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A

 $P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A

$$P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$$



• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A

$$P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$$



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm}$ @ a 3 nm . net $_{\sqcup}$ A f f i l i a t i o n

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

Emailua3nm@a3nm.netuAffiliation

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup}\texttt{a3nm}$ @a3nm.net $_{\sqcup}$ Affiliation

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm@a3nm.net}_{\sqcup} \texttt{Affiliation}$

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

Emailua3nm@a3nm.netuAffiliation

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A

$$P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$$



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

Email_u a 3 nm @ a 3 nm . net_u A f f i l i a t i o n

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A

$$P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$$



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm@a3nm.net}_{\sqcup} \texttt{Affiliation}$

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A

$$P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$$



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup}\texttt{a3nm@a3nm.net}_{\sqcup}\texttt{Affiliation}$

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A

$$P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$$



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm}$ @ a 3 nm . net $_{\sqcup}$ A f f i l i a t i o n

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

Emailua3nm@a3nm.netuAffiliation

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm}$ @ a3nm . \texttt{net}_{\sqcup} Affiliation

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm}@\texttt{a3nm}.\texttt{net}_{\sqcup}\texttt{Affiliation}$

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup}\texttt{a3nm@a3nm}.\texttt{net}_{\sqcup}\texttt{Affiliation}$

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm@a3nm}$.net $_{\sqcup} \texttt{Affiliation}$

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm}$ @ a 3 nm . net $_{\sqcup}$ A f f i l i a t i o n

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup}\texttt{a3nm@a3nm} . \texttt{net}_{\sqcup}\texttt{Affiliation}$

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm}$ @ a 3 nm . n e t $_{\sqcup}$ A f f i l i a t i o n

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm@a3nm}$. $\texttt{net}_{\sqcup} \texttt{Affiliation}$

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

Email u a 3 nm @ a 3 nm . net u Affiliation

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm@a3nm.net}_{\sqcup} \texttt{Affiliation}$

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm}$ @ a 3 nm . net $_{\sqcup}$ A f f i l i at i o n

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

Emailua3nm@a3nm.netuAffiliation
• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $Email_{\sqcup}a3nm@a3nm.net_{\sqcup}Affiliation$

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

Emailua3nm@a3nm.netuAffiliation

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm}$ @ a 3 nm . net $_{\sqcup}$ A f f i l i a t i o n

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm}$ @ a 3 nm . net $_{\sqcup}$ A f f i l i a t i o n

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the text T

Emailua3nm@a3nm.netuAffiliation

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A

$$P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$$



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the **text** *T*

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm}$ @ a 3 nm . net $_{\sqcup}$ A f f i l i a t i o n

• The complexity is $O(|A| \times |T|)$, i.e., linear in T and polynomial in P

• Convert the regular expression P to an automaton A



• Then, evaluate the automaton on the **text** *T*

 $\texttt{Email}_{\sqcup} \texttt{a3nm@a3nm}$.net $_{\sqcup} \texttt{Affiliation}$

• The complexity is $O(|A| \times |T|)$, i.e., linear in *T* and polynomial in *P* \rightarrow This is very efficient in *T* and reasonably efficient in *P* • This only tests **if** the pattern **occurs in** the text!

ightarrow ''YES''

- This only tests if the pattern occurs in the text!
 → "YES"
- Goal: find all substrings in the text T which match the pattern P

- This only tests if the pattern occurs in the text!
 → "YES"
- Goal: find all substrings in the text T which match the pattern P
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
 E m a i l u a 3 n m @ a 3 n m . n e t A f f i l i a t i o n

- This only tests if the pattern occurs in the text!
 → "YES"
- Goal: find all **substrings** in the text **T** which match the pattern **P**

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 E m a i l u a 3 n m @ a 3 n m . n e t u A f f i l i a t i o n

 \rightarrow One match: [5, 20 $\!\rangle$

- This only tests if the pattern occurs in the text!
 → "YES"
- Goal: find all substrings in the text T which match the pattern P
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 101112131415161718192021222324252627282930

 \rightarrow One match: [5,20 $\!\rangle$

Formal Problem Statement

• Problem description:

• Problem description:

Input:

• A text T

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: a3mm. Identity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP a3mm@a3mm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. test@example.com More Résume Location Other sites Blogging: a3mm.net/blog Git: a3mm.net/git ...

Formal Problem Statement

- Problem description:
 - Input:
 - A text T

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: a3mm. Identity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP a3mm@a3mm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. test@example.com More Résume Location Other sites Blogging: a3mm.net/blog Git: a3mm.net/git ...

• A pattern P given as a regular expression

$$P := \sqcup [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \sqcup$$

Formal Problem Statement

- Problem description:
 - Input:
 - A text T

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: a3mm. Identity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP a3mm@a3mm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. test@example.com More Résume Location Other sites Blogging: a3mm.net/blog Git: a3mm.net/git ...

A pattern P given as a regular expression

 $P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$

• Output: the list of substrings of T that match P:

 $[186,200\rangle$, $[483,500\rangle$, ...

- Problem description:
 - Input:
 - A text T

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: a3mm. Identity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP a3mm@a3mm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. test@example.com More Résume Location Other sites Blogging: a3mm.net/blog Git: a3mm.net/git ...

• A pattern P given as a regular expression

 $P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$

• Output: the list of substrings of T that match P:

 $[186,200\rangle$, $[483,500\rangle$, ...

• Goal: be very efficient in T and reasonably efficient in P

















1 o [> 1

l o[l >

1 o 1[>

1 o 1

 \rightarrow Complexity is $O(|T|^2 \times |A| \times |T|)$

• Naive algorithm: Run the automaton A on each substring of T

1 o 1

- \rightarrow Complexity is $O(|T|^2 \times |A| \times |T|)$
- \rightarrow Can be **optimized** to $O(|T|^2 \times |A|)$

• Naive algorithm: Run the automaton A on each substring of T

l o l

- \rightarrow Complexity is $O(|T|^2 \times |A| \times |T|)$
- \rightarrow Can be **optimized** to $O(|T|^2 \times |A|)$
- **Problem:** We may need to output $\Omega(|T|^2)$ matching substrings:

• Naive algorithm: Run the automaton A on each substring of T

l o l

- \rightarrow Complexity is $O(|T|^2 \times |A| \times |T|)$
- \rightarrow Can be **optimized** to $O(|T|^2 \times |A|)$
- **Problem:** We may need to output $\Omega(|T|^2)$ matching substrings:
 - Consider the text T:

• Naive algorithm: Run the automaton A on each substring of T

1 o 1

- \rightarrow Complexity is $O(|T|^2 \times |A| \times |T|)$
- \rightarrow Can be **optimized** to $O(|T|^2 \times |A|)$
- **Problem:** We may need to output $\Omega(|T|^2)$ matching substrings:
 - Consider the text T:

• Consider the **pattern** $P := a^*$

• Naive algorithm: Run the automaton A on each substring of T

1 o 1

- \rightarrow Complexity is $O(|T|^2 \times |A| \times |T|)$
- \rightarrow Can be **optimized** to $O(|T|^2 \times |A|)$
- **Problem:** We may need to output $\Omega(|T|^2)$ matching substrings:
 - Consider the **text** *T*:

- Consider the **pattern** $P := a^*$
- The number of matches is $\Omega(|T|^2)$

• Naive algorithm: Run the automaton A on each substring of T

1 o 1

- \rightarrow Complexity is $O(|T|^2 \times |A| \times |T|)$
- \rightarrow Can be optimized to $O(|T|^2 \times |A|)$
- **Problem:** We may need to output $\Omega(|T|^2)$ matching substrings:
 - Consider the **text** *T*:

- Consider the **pattern** $P := a^*$
- The number of matches is $\Omega(|T|^2)$
- \rightarrow We need a **different way** to measure complexity

Idea: In real life, we do not want to compute all the matches we just need to be able to **enumerate** matches quickly
Q journée recherche du LTCI



Q journée recherche du LTCI

Search

Results 1 - 20 of 10,514

Q journée recherche du LTCI

Search

Results 1 - 20 of 10,514

. . .

Q journée recherche du LTCI

Search

Results 1 - 20 of 10,514

View (previous 20 | next 20) (20 | 50 | 100 | 250 | 500)

. . .

Q journée recherche du LTCI

Search

Results 1 - 20 of 10,514

View (previous 20 | next 20) (20 | 50 | 100 | 250 | 500)

→ Formalization: **enumeration algorithms**

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: alm. Identity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenDPD. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP alsm8a3mm.met Affiliation Associate professor ...

Text T

□ [a-z0-9.]*@ [a-z0-9.]* □ Pattern P













• Recall the **inputs** to our problem:

• A text T

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: aAmm. Idenity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP aAnm@aAmm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. More Résumé Location Other sites Blogging: aAmm.net/blog Git: aAmm.net/git ...

• Recall the **inputs** to our problem:

• A text T

Attoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: aAnm. Identity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP aAnm@AAmm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. More Résumé Location Other sites Blogging: AAmm.net/blog Git: AAmm.net/git ...

• A pattern P given as a regular expression

 $P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$

• Recall the **inputs** to our problem:

• A text T

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: aAmm. Idenity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP aAnm@aAmm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. More Résumé Location Other sites Blogging: aAmm.net/blog Git: aAmm.net/git ...

• A pattern P given as a regular expression

$$P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$$

• What is the **delay** of the **naive algorithm**?

• Recall the **inputs** to our problem:

• A text T

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: aAmm. Idenity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP aAnm@aAmm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. More Résumé Location Other sites Blogging: aAmm.net/blog Git: aAmm.net/git ...

• A pattern P given as a regular expression

$$P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$$

• What is the **delay** of the **naive algorithm**?

 \rightarrow it is the maximal time to find the next matching substring

• Recall the **inputs** to our problem:

• A text T

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: aAmm. Identity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP aAnm@aAmm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science avarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. More Résumé Location Uther sites Blogging: aAmm.net/blog Git: aAmm.net/git ...

• A pattern P given as a regular expression

• What is the **delay** of the **naive algorithm**?

→ it is the maximal time to find the next matching substring → i.e. $O(|T|^2 \times |A|)$, e.g., if only the beginning and end match

• Recall the **inputs** to our problem:

• A text T

Antoine Amarilli Description Name Antoine Amarilli. Handle: aAmm. Idenity Born 1990-02-07. French national. Appearance as of 2017. Auth OpenPGP. OpenId. Bitcoin. Contact Email and XMPP aAnm@aAmm.net Affiliation Associate professor of computer science (office C201-4) in the DIG team of Télécom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Studies PhD in computer science awarded by Télécom ParisTech on March 14, 2016. Former student of the École normale supérieure. More Résumé Location Other sites Blogging: aAmm.net/blog Git: aAmm.net/git ...

• A pattern P given as a regular expression

$$P := \Box [a-z0-9.]^* @ [a-z0-9.]^* \Box$$

• What is the **delay** of the **naive algorithm**?

→ it is the maximal time to find the next matching substring → i.e. $O(|T|^2 \times |A|)$, e.g., if only the beginning and end match

 \rightarrow Can we do **better**?

• Existing work has shown the best possible bounds:

• Existing work has shown the best possible bounds:

Theorem [Florenzano et al., 2018]

We can enumerate all matches of a pattern P on a text T with:

- Preprocessing linear in T
- Delay constant (independent from T)

• Existing work has shown the best possible bounds in *T*:

Theorem [Florenzano et al., 2018]

We can enumerate all matches of a pattern **P** on a text **T** with:

- Preprocessing linear in T and exponential in P
- Delay constant (independent from T) and exponential in P

→ **Problem:** They only measure the complexity as a function of *T*!

• Existing work has shown the best possible bounds in *T*:

Theorem [Florenzano et al., 2018]

We can enumerate all matches of a pattern **P** on a text **T** with:

- Preprocessing linear in T and exponential in P
- Delay constant (independent from T) and exponential in P
- → **Problem:** They only measure the complexity **as a function of** *T*!
 - Our contribution is:

• Existing work has shown the best possible bounds in *T*:

Theorem [Florenzano et al., 2018]

We can enumerate all matches of a pattern **P** on a text **T** with:

- Preprocessing linear in T and exponential in P
- Delay constant (independent from T) and exponential in P
- → **Problem:** They only measure the complexity **as a function of** *T*!
 - Our contribution is:

Theorem

We can enumerate all matches of a pattern **P** on a text **T** with:

- Preprocessing in O(|T| × Poly(P))
- Delay polynomial in P and independent from T

Extension: From Text to Trees



• The **data** *T* is no longer **text** but is now a **tree**:



• The **pattern** *P* asks about the **structure** of the tree: Is there an *h*² header and an *image* in the same section?



- The **pattern** *P* asks about the **structure** of the tree: Is there an *h*² header and an *image* in the same section?
- Results:



- The pattern P asks about the structure of the tree: Is there α: an h2 header and β: an image in the same section?
- Results:



- The pattern P asks about the structure of the tree: Is there α: an h2 header and β: an image in the same section?
- Results: $\langle \alpha : 4, \beta : 6 \rangle$, $\langle \alpha : 4, \beta : 7 \rangle$

Definitions and Results on Trees

• Tree patterns *P* can be written as a kind of tree automaton...

Definitions and Results on Trees

- Tree patterns *P* can be written as a kind of tree automaton...
- Existing work has studied this problem and shown:

- Tree patterns *P* can be written as a kind of tree automaton...
- Existing work has studied this problem and shown:

Theorem [Bagan, 2006]

We can find all matches on a tree **T** of a tree pattern **P** (with constantly many capture variables) with:

- Preprocessing linear in T
- Delay constant in T

- Tree patterns *P* can be written as a kind of tree automaton...
- Existing work has studied this problem and shown:

Theorem [Bagan, 2006]

We can find all matches on a tree **T** of a tree pattern **P** (with constantly many capture variables) with:

- Preprocessing linear in T and exponential in P
- Delay constant in T and exponential in P
- Again, this only measures the **complexity in** *T*!

- Tree patterns *P* can be written as a kind of tree automaton...
- Existing work has studied this problem and shown:

Theorem [Bagan, 2006]

We can find all matches on a tree **T** of a tree pattern **P** (with constantly many capture variables) with:

- Preprocessing linear in T and exponential in P
- Delay constant in T and exponential in P
- Again, this only measures the **complexity in** *T*!
- ightarrow We are **working on** proving the following:

Conjecture

- Preprocessing in $O(|T| \times Poly(P))$
- Delay polynomial in P and independent from T

Extension: Supporting Updates

Updates



• The input data can be **modified** after the preprocessing


• The input data can be **modified** after the preprocessing



• The input data can be **modified** after the preprocessing



- The input data can be **modified** after the preprocessing
- If this happen, we must rerun the preprocessing from scratch



- The input data can be **modified** after the preprocessing
- If this happen, we must rerun the preprocessing from scratch
- \rightarrow Can we **do better**?



- The input data can be **modified** after the preprocessing
- If this happen, we must rerun the preprocessing from scratch
- \rightarrow Can we **do better**?

Conjecture

When the input data **T** is **updated**, we can update our **index** in time $O(\log |T|)$

Summary and Future Work

• **Problem:** given a text **T** and a pattern **P**, enumerate efficiently all substrings of **T** that match **P**

- **Problem:** given a text *T* and a pattern *P*, enumerate efficiently all substrings of *T* that match *P*
- **Result:** we can do this with **reasonable complexity** in *P* and with **linear** preprocessing and **constant** delay in *T*

- **Problem:** given a text *T* and a pattern *P*, enumerate efficiently all substrings of *T* that match *P*
- **Result:** we can do this with **reasonable complexity** in *P* and with **linear** preprocessing and **constant** delay in *T*

Extensions and future work:

• Extending the results from text to trees

- **Problem:** given a text *T* and a pattern *P*, enumerate efficiently all substrings of *T* that match *P*
- **Result:** we can do this with **reasonable complexity** in *P* and with **linear** preprocessing and **constant** delay in *T*

Extensions and future work:

- Extending the results from text to trees
- Supporting **updates** on the input data

- **Problem:** given a text *T* and a pattern *P*, enumerate efficiently all substrings of *T* that match *P*
- **Result:** we can do this with **reasonable complexity** in *P* and with **linear** preprocessing and **constant** delay in *T*

Extensions and future work:

- Extending the results from text to **trees**
- Supporting updates on the input data
- Testing how well our methods perform in practice

- **Problem:** given a text *T* and a pattern *P*, enumerate efficiently all substrings of *T* that match *P*
- **Result:** we can do this with **reasonable complexity** in *P* and with **linear** preprocessing and **constant** delay in *T*

Extensions and future work:

- Extending the results from text to trees
- Supporting updates on the input data
- Testing how well our methods perform in practice

Thanks for your attention!

Bagan, G. (2006).

MSO queries on tree decomposable structures are computable with linear delay.

In CSL.



Florenzano, F., Riveros, C., Ugarte, M., Vansummeren, S., and Vrgoc, D. (2018).

Constant delay algorithms for regular document spanners. In *PODS*.