

Enumeration on Trees under Relabelings

Antoine Amarilli¹, Pierre Bourhis², Stefan Mengel³

March 27th, 2018

¹Télécom ParisTech

²CNRS CRIStAL

³CNRS CRIL

Problem statement

- Tree on a fixed alphabet
- Boolean query to test a property of the tree

- Tree on a fixed alphabet
- Boolean query to test a property of the tree



- Tree on a fixed alphabet
- Boolean query to test a property of the tree



Example query

Is there an **h2** header and an **image** that are in the same section?

- Tree on a fixed alphabet
- Boolean query to test a property of the tree



Example query

Is there an **h2** header and an **image** that are in the same section?

Example answer \rightarrow YES

- Tree on a fixed alphabet
- Boolean query to test a property of the tree



→ **Theorem:** For **monadic second-order** (MSO) queries, we can check if the query is true or not in **linear time** in the input tree

- Tree on a fixed alphabet
- Non-Boolean query to find tuples of nodes satisfying a property

- Tree on a fixed alphabet
- Non-Boolean query to find tuples of nodes satisfying a property



- Tree on a fixed alphabet
- Non-Boolean query to find tuples of nodes satisfying a property



Example query

Find all pairs of an **h2** header and an **image** in the same section

- Tree on a fixed alphabet
- Non-Boolean query to find tuples of nodes satisfying a property



Example query

Find all pairs of an **h2** header and an **image** in the same section

Example answer

$$\rightarrow \ \big\{ \langle 4,6\rangle, \langle 4,7\rangle \big\}$$

- Tree on a fixed alphabet
- Non-Boolean query to find tuples of nodes satisfying a property



 \rightarrow Corollary: For each possible tuple, we can check in linear time if it is an answer to the query

- $\rightarrow\,$ There can be lots of answers!
 - "Find all pairs of ...": output size can be $O(|T|^2)$

• "Find all pairs of ...": output size can be $O(|T|^2)$

${\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}}$ query evaluation

Search

• "Find all pairs of ...": output size can be $O(|T|^2)$

${f Q}$ query evaluation

Search

Results 1 - 20 of 10,514

• "Find all pairs of ...": output size can be $O(|T|^2)$

${f Q}$ query evaluation

Search

Results 1 - 20 of 10,514

. . .

• "Find all pairs of ...": output size can be $O(|T|^2)$

${\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}}$ query evaluation

Search

Results 1 - 20 of 10,514

View (previous 20 | next 20) (20 | 50 | 100 | 250 | 500)

. . .

• "Find all pairs of ...": output size can be $O(|T|^2)$

${\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}}$ query evaluation

Search

Results 1 - 20 of 10,514

View (previous 20 | next 20) (20 | 50 | 100 | 250 | 500)

→ Solution: Enumerate answers one after the other



 $\exists s \text{ section}(s) \land \\ s \rightsquigarrow x \land s \rightsquigarrow y \land \\ h2(x) \land img(y) \\ Query$

























Work	Data	Delay	Updates
[Bagan, 2006],	trees	O(1)	O(T) (from scratch)
[Kazana and Segoufin, 2013]			



Work	Data	Delay	Updates
[Bagan, 2006],	trees	O(1)	O(T) (from scratch)
[Kazana and Segoufin, 2013]			
[Losemann and Martens, 2014]	trees	$O(\log^2 T)$	$O(\log^2 T)$



Work	Data	Delay	Updates
[Bagan, 2006],	trees	O(1)	O(T) (from scratch)
[Kazana and Segoufin, 2013]			
[Losemann and Martens, 2014]	trees	$O(\log^2 T)$	$O(\log^2 T)$
[Losemann and Martens, 2014]	words	$O(\log T)$	$O(\log T)$



Work	Data	Delay	Updates
[Bagan, 2006],	trees	O(1)	O(T) (from scratch)
[Kazana and Segoufin, 2013]			
[Losemann and Martens, 2014]	trees	$O(\log^2 T)$	$O(\log^2 T)$
[Losemann and Martens, 2014]	words	$O(\log T)$	$O(\log T)$
[Niewerth and Segoufin, 2018]	words	O(1)	$O(\log T)$



• We focus on **relabeling updates**: change the label of a node



- We focus on **relabeling updates**: change the label of a node
- Example: relabel node 7 to <video>


- We focus on **relabeling updates**: change the label of a node
- Example: relabel node 7 to <video>



- We focus on **relabeling updates**: change the label of a node
- Example: relabel node 7 to <video>
- The tree's **structure** never changes

- Parameterized queries:
 - Example: "Find all images in a user-selected section"



- Parameterized queries:
 - Example: "Find all images in a user-selected section"
 - → Write down the user parameters as labels on the tree



- Parameterized queries:
 - Example: "Find all images in a user-selected section"
 - → Write down the user parameters as labels on the tree
 - \rightarrow **Relabel** when they change



• Parameterized queries:

- Example: "Find all images in a user-selected section"
- → Write down the user parameters as labels on the tree
- \rightarrow **Relabel** when they change
- Group-by with aggregation:
 - Example: "For each section, what is the total size of images"



• Parameterized queries:

- Example: "Find all images in a user-selected section"
- → Write down the user parameters as labels on the tree
- \rightarrow **Relabel** when they change
- Group-by with aggregation:
 - Example: "For each section, what is the total size of images"
 - → Enumerate the groups and write down each group



• Parameterized queries:

- Example: "Find all images in a user-selected section"
- → Write down the user parameters as labels on the tree
- \rightarrow **Relabel** when they change
- Group-by with aggregation:
 - Example: "For each section, what is the total size of images"
 - → Enumerate the groups and write down each group
 - \rightarrow **Relabel** when switching groups



We can enumerate the answers of any MSO query with preprocessing **linear** in the input tree **T** and **constant** delay between each answer **and we can relabel any node and update the index in time O**(log **T**)

We can enumerate the answers of any MSO query with preprocessing **linear** in the input tree **T** and **constant** delay between each answer **and we can relabel any node and update the index in time O**(log **T**)

Work	Data	Delay	Updates
[Bagan, 2006],	trees	O(1)	N/A
[Kazana and Segoufin, 2013]			
[Losemann and Martens, 2014]	trees	$O(\log^2 T)$	$O(\log^2 T)$
[Losemann and Martens, 2014]	words	$O(\log T)$	$O(\log T)$
[Niewerth and Segoufin, 2018]	words	<i>O</i> (1)	$O(\log T)$

We can enumerate the answers of any MSO query with preprocessing **linear** in the input tree **T** and **constant** delay between each answer **and we can relabel any node and update the index in time O**(log **T**)

Work	Data	Delay	Updates
[Bagan, 2006],	trees	O(1)	N/A
[Kazana and Segoufin, 2013]			
[Losemann and Martens, 2014]	trees	$O(\log^2 T)$	$O(\log^2 T)$
[Losemann and Martens, 2014]	words	$O(\log T)$	$O(\log T)$
[Niewerth and Segoufin, 2018]	words	<i>O</i> (1)	$O(\log T)$
[This work]	trees	<i>O</i> (1)	O(log T) (relabelings)

We can enumerate the answers of any MSO query with preprocessing **linear** in the input tree **T** and **constant** delay between each answer **and we can relabel any node and update the index in time O**(log **T**)

Work	Data	Delay	Updates
[Bagan, 2006],	trees	O(1)	N/A
[Kazana and Segoufin, 2013]			
[Losemann and Martens, 2014]	trees	$O(\log^2 T)$	$O(\log^2 T)$
[Losemann and Martens, 2014]	words	$O(\log T)$	$O(\log T)$
[Niewerth and Segoufin, 2018]	words	O(1)	$O(\log T)$
[This work]	trees	O(1)	O(log T) (relabelings)

 $\rightarrow\,$ Consequences for group-by, aggregation, parameterized queries

Proof techniques

Knowledge compilation

To make the proof **modular**, we follow **knowledge compilation**:



To make the proof **modular**, we follow **knowledge compilation**:

- Preprocessing: Compute a circuit representation of the answers
- Enumeration: Apply a generic algorithm on the circuit



To make the proof **modular**, we follow **knowledge compilation**:

- Preprocessing: Compute a circuit representation of the answers
- Enumeration: Apply a generic algorithm on the circuit



First present approach **without relabelings** (as in our ICALP'17 paper) then extend the approach to **support relabelings**

A set circuit represents a set of answers to a query Q(x, y)

• Singleton $x: 6 \rightarrow$ "the free variable x is mapped to node 6"

- Singleton $x: 6 \rightarrow$ "the free variable x is mapped to node 6"
- **Tuple** (*x*:4, *y*:6): tuple of singletons

- Singleton x:6 \rightarrow "the free variable x is mapped to node 6"
- **Tuple** $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$: tuple of singletons
- The circuit captures a **set** of tuples, e.g., { $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$, $\langle x:4, y:7 \rangle$ }

A set circuit represents a set of answers to a query Q(x, y)

- Singleton $x: 6 \rightarrow$ "the free variable x is mapped to node 6"
- **Tuple** $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$: tuple of singletons
- The circuit captures a **set** of tuples, e.g., { $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$, $\langle x:4, y:7 \rangle$ }

Three kinds of **set-valued gates**:



A set circuit represents a set of answers to a query Q(x, y)

- Singleton $x: 6 \rightarrow$ "the free variable x is mapped to node 6"
- **Tuple** (*x*:4, *y*:6): tuple of singletons
- The circuit captures a **set** of tuples, e.g., { $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$, $\langle x:4, y:7 \rangle$ }



Three kinds of **set-valued gates**:

• Variable gate (x:4) :

$$\rightarrow$$
 captures $\{\langle x:4\rangle\}$

A set circuit represents a set of answers to a query Q(x, y)

- Singleton $x: 6 \rightarrow$ "the free variable x is mapped to node 6"
- **Tuple** (*x*:4, *y*:6): tuple of singletons
- The circuit captures a **set** of tuples, e.g., { $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$, $\langle x:4, y:7 \rangle$ }



Three kinds of **set-valued gates**:

• Variable gate (x:4):

 \rightarrow captures $\left\{ \langle x : 4 \rangle \right\}$

• Union gate \bigcup : \rightarrow union of sets of tuples

A set circuit represents a set of answers to a query Q(x, y)

- Singleton $x: 6 \rightarrow$ "the free variable x is mapped to node 6"
- **Tuple** $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$: tuple of singletons
- The circuit captures a **set** of tuples, e.g., { $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$, $\langle x:4, y:7 \rangle$ }

10/19



- Singleton $x: 6 \rightarrow$ "the free variable x is mapped to node 6"
- **Tuple** $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$: tuple of singletons
- The circuit captures a **set** of tuples, e.g., { $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$, $\langle x:4, y:7 \rangle$ }



- Singleton $x: 6 \rightarrow$ "the free variable x is mapped to node 6"
- **Tuple** $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$: tuple of singletons
- The circuit captures a set of tuples, e.g., $\{\langle x:4, y:6\rangle, \langle x:4, y:7\rangle\}$



- Singleton $x: 6 \rightarrow$ "the free variable x is mapped to node 6"
- **Tuple** $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$: tuple of singletons
- The circuit captures a **set** of tuples, e.g., { $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$, $\langle x:4, y:7 \rangle$ }



- Singleton $x: 6 \rightarrow$ "the free variable x is mapped to node 6"
- **Tuple** $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$: tuple of singletons
- The circuit captures a **set** of tuples, e.g., { $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$, $\langle x:4, y:7 \rangle$ }



- Singleton $x: 6 \rightarrow$ "the free variable x is mapped to node 6"
- **Tuple** $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$: tuple of singletons
- The circuit captures a **set** of tuples, e.g., { $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$, $\langle x:4, y:7 \rangle$ }



Preprocessing: Set circuit construction



Theorem

For any MSO query $Q(x_1, ..., x_k)$, given a tree T, we can build in O(T)a set circuit capturing exactly the set of answers of Q on T: $\{\langle x_1 : n_1, ..., x_k : n_k \rangle \mid (n_1, ..., n_k) \in T^k\}$

Preprocessing: Set circuit construction



Theorem

For any **MSO query** $Q(x_1, ..., x_k)$, given a **tree** T, we can build in O(T)a **set circuit** capturing exactly the set of **answers** of Q on T: $\{\langle x_1 : n_1, ..., x_k : n_k \rangle \mid (n_1, ..., n_k) \in T^k\}$

 Proof idea: Translate query to bottom-up tree automaton and build a provenance circuit following the structure of the tree

Enumeration on set circuits



Theorem

Given a set circuit **satisfying some conditions**, we can enumerate all tuples that it captures with linear preprocessing and constant delay

E.g., for $\{\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle, \langle x:4, y:7 \rangle\}$: enumerate $\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle$, then $\langle x:4, y:7 \rangle$

- ightarrow Enumerate the set $\mathit{T}(g)$ captured by each gate g
- ightarrow Do it by top-down induction on the circuit

- \rightarrow Enumerate the set T(g) captured by each gate g
- \rightarrow Do it by **top-down induction** on the circuit

Base case: variable (x:n):



- \rightarrow Enumerate the set T(g) captured by each gate g
- \rightarrow Do it by **top-down induction** on the circuit

Base case: variable (x:n) : enumerate $\langle x:n \rangle$ and stop

- ightarrow Enumerate the set T(g) captured by each gate g
- $\rightarrow\,$ Do it by top-down induction on the circuit

Base case: variable
$$(x:n)$$
 : enumerate $\langle x:n \rangle$ and stop
 \cup -gate
 g_1 g_2

Concatenation: enumerate $T(g_1)$ and then enumerate $T(g_2)$
General enumeration approach

- ightarrow Enumerate the set T(g) captured by each gate g
- ightarrow Do it by top-down induction on the circuit



Concatenation: enumerate $T(g_1)$ and then enumerate $T(g_2)$

Lexicographic product: for every t_1 in $T(g_1)$: for every t_2 in $T(g_2)$: output $t_1 + t_2$

Enumeration relies on some **conditions** on the input circuit (d-DNNF):



Enumeration relies on some **conditions** on the input circuit (d-DNNF):

• U are all **deterministic**:

For any two inputs g_1 and g_2 of a \cup -gate, the captured sets $T(g_1)$ and $T(g_2)$ are **disjoint** (they have no tuple in common)

 $\rightarrow\,$ Avoids duplicate tuples



Enumeration relies on some **conditions** on the input circuit (d-DNNF):

• U are all **deterministic**:

For any two inputs g_1 and g_2 of a \cup -gate, the captured sets $T(g_1)$ and $T(g_2)$ are **disjoint** (they have no tuple in common)

- \rightarrow Avoids duplicate tuples
 - 🗙 are all **decomposable**:

For any two inputs g_1 and g_2 of a \times -gate, no variable has a path to both g_1 and g_2

 \rightarrow Avoids duplicate singletons



Enumeration relies on some **conditions** on the input circuit (d-DNNF):

• U are all **deterministic**:

For any two inputs g_1 and g_2 of a \cup -gate, the captured sets $T(g_1)$ and $T(g_2)$ are disjoint (they have no tuple in common)

- \rightarrow Avoids duplicate tuples
 - 🗙 are all **decomposable**:

For any two inputs g_1 and g_2 of a \times -gate, no variable has a path to both g_1 and g_2

- \rightarrow Avoids duplicate singletons
 - Also an additional upwards-determinism condition





• We must not waste time in gates capturing \emptyset



- We must not waste time in gates capturing \emptyset
 - $\rightarrow~\mbox{Label}$ them during the preprocessing



- We must not waste time in gates capturing \emptyset
 - \rightarrow Label them during the preprocessing
- We must not waste time because of gates capturing $\{\langle\rangle\}$



- We must not waste time in gates capturing Ø
 - \rightarrow Label them during the preprocessing
- We must not waste time because of gates capturing {⟨⟩}
 → Homogenization to set them aside



- We must not waste time in gates capturing Ø
 - \rightarrow Label them during the preprocessing
- We must not waste time because of gates capturing $\{\langle \rangle \}$ \rightarrow Homogenization to set them aside
- We must not waste time in hierarchies of ∪-gates



- We must not waste time in gates capturing Ø
 - \rightarrow Label them during the preprocessing
- We must not waste time because of gates capturing {⟨⟩}
 → Homogenization to set them aside
- We must not waste time in hierarchies of ∪-gates
 - → Precompute a **reachability index** (uses **upwards-determinism**)

To support **relabelings**, we use **hybrid circuits** that have:

- Boolean gates that depend only on the labeling
- O Set gates that capture a set of tuples for each labeling



To support **relabelings**, we use **hybrid circuits** that have:

- Boolean gates that depend only on the labeling
- O Set gates that capture a set of tuples for each labeling Four kinds of Boolean gates:



To support **relabelings**, we use **hybrid circuits** that have:

- Boolean gates that depend only on the labeling
- O Set gates that capture a set of tuples for each labeling Four kinds of Boolean gates:



 \rightarrow true iff node 4 is labeled h2

h2:4

To support **relabelings**, we use **hybrid circuits** that have:

- **Boolean gates** that depend only on the **labeling**
- Set gates that capture a set of tuples for each labeling Four kinds of **Boolean gates**:

AND, OR, NOT

 \rightarrow usual semantics

h2:4 \rightarrow true iff node 4 is labeled h2

16/19



To support **relabelings**, we use **hybrid circuits** that have:

- Boolean gates that depend only on the labeling
- O Set gates that capture a set of tuples for each labeling

Four kinds of **Boolean gates**:



• For every labeling, the hybrid circuit captures a set of tuples













- For every **labeling**, the hybrid circuit captures a **set of tuples** \rightarrow Here, the captured set is $\{\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle, \langle x:4, y:7 \rangle\}$
- When the tree is **relabeled**, change the **Boolean variables**



- For every **labeling**, the hybrid circuit captures a **set of tuples** \rightarrow Here, the captured set is $\{\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle, \langle x:4, y:7 \rangle\}$
- When the tree is **relabeled**, change the **Boolean variables**



- For every **labeling**, the hybrid circuit captures a **set of tuples** \rightarrow Here, the captured set is $\{\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle, \langle x:4, y:7 \rangle\}$
- When the tree is **relabeled**, change the **Boolean variables**



- For every **labeling**, the hybrid circuit captures a **set of tuples** \rightarrow Here, the captured set is $\{\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle, \langle x:4, y:7 \rangle\}$
- When the tree is **relabeled**, change the **Boolean variables**



- For every **labeling**, the hybrid circuit captures a **set of tuples** \rightarrow Here, the captured set is $\{\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle, \langle x:4, y:7 \rangle\}$
- When the tree is **relabeled**, change the **Boolean variables**



- For every **labeling**, the hybrid circuit captures a **set of tuples** \rightarrow Here, the captured set is $\{\langle x:4, y:6 \rangle, \langle x:4, y:7 \rangle\}$
- When the tree is **relabeled**, change the **Boolean variables**

 \rightarrow New captured set: { $\langle x: 4, y: 6 \rangle$ }



• When a label changes, update the circuit bottom-up



• When a label changes, update the circuit bottom-up



• When a label changes, update the circuit bottom-up



- When a label changes, update the circuit bottom-up
- The circuit follows the structure of the input tree T so updates are in O(height(T))



- When a label changes, update the circuit bottom-up
- The circuit follows the structure of the input tree T so updates are in O(height(T))
- \rightarrow Balancing lemma: Rewrite the input tree to make it balanced



Conclusion

Summary:

• **Problem:** enumerate the answers of an **MSO query** on a **tree** with efficient support for **relabeling updates** on the tree

Summary:

- **Problem:** enumerate the answers of an **MSO query** on a **tree** with efficient support for **relabeling updates** on the tree
- Main result: we can do this with linear preprocessing, constant delay between each answer, and log update time
Summary:

- **Problem:** enumerate the answers of an **MSO query** on a **tree** with efficient support for **relabeling updates** on the tree
- Main result: we can do this with linear preprocessing, constant delay between each answer, and log update time
- Consequences: group-by, parameterized queries, aggregation

Summary:

- **Problem:** enumerate the answers of an **MSO query** on a **tree** with efficient support for **relabeling updates** on the tree
- Main result: we can do this with linear preprocessing, constant delay between each answer, and log update time
- Consequences: group-by, parameterized queries, aggregation

Future work:

- Practice: implement the technique with automata
- Applications: text extraction? e.g., document spanners (ongoing)
- Updates: support insertions/deletions? (ongoing)

Summary:

- **Problem:** enumerate the answers of an **MSO query** on a **tree** with efficient support for **relabeling updates** on the tree
- Main result: we can do this with linear preprocessing, constant delay between each answer, and log update time
- Consequences: group-by, parameterized queries, aggregation

Future work:

- Practice: implement the technique with automata
- Applications: text extraction? e.g., document spanners (ongoing)
- Updates: support insertions/deletions? (ongoing)

Thanks for your attention!

Bagan, G. (2006).

MSO queries on tree decomposable structures are computable with linear delay.

In CSL.

- Kazana, W. and Segoufin, L. (2013).
 Enumeration of monadic second-order queries on trees. TOCL, 14(4).
 - Losemann, K. and Martens, W. (2014). **MSO queries on trees: enumerating answers under updates.** In *CSL-LICS*.



Niewerth, M. and Segoufin, L. (2018). Enumeration of MSO queries on strings with constant delay and logarithmic updates. In *PODS*.

To appear.

• Automaton: "Select all node pairs (x, y)" • States: $\{\emptyset, x, y, xy\}$

• Automaton: "Select all node pairs (x, y)" • States: {Ø, x, y, xy}



• Automaton: "Select all node pairs (x, y)" • States: $\{\emptyset, x, y, xy\}$













• Automaton: "Select all node pairs (x, y)" • States: $\{\emptyset, x, y, xy\}$

