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## Context: Enumeration algorithms

Enumeration algorithms: framework for computation problems producing many results

Decision problem
Input $\rightarrow$ YES/NO Measure: running time
Computation problem
Input $\rightarrow \quad\{\square \nabla, \square \square \nabla, \square \nabla \nabla\} \quad$ Measure: running time
Enumeration problem
$\left.\begin{array}{rl}\text { Input } \rightarrow \quad\{ & \square \nabla, \\ & \square \square \nabla,\end{array}\right]$ Measure: max delay between two consecutive results
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Problem: assumes that the results to enumerate have constant size
Ambitious goal
How can we enumerate results of unbounded size in constant delay?
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## Solution: Cheat!

Do not write each result from scratch, but by editing the previous result!

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\square}{1} \frac{\square}{2} \frac{\square}{3} \frac{\square}{4} \frac{}{5} & \text { Results: } \\
\operatorname{Put}(1, \square) ; \operatorname{Put}(2, \nabla) ; \operatorname{Output}() ; & & \square \nabla \\
\operatorname{Put}(3, \nabla) ; \operatorname{Output}() ; & & \square \nabla \\
\operatorname{Put}(2, \square) ; \operatorname{Output}() ; & & \square \square
\end{array}
$$

## Solution: Cheat!

Do not write each result from scratch, but by editing the previous result!

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\square}{1} \frac{\square}{2} \frac{\square}{3} \frac{\square}{4} \frac{}{5} & & \text { Results: } \\
\text { Put(1, } \square \text { ); Put(2, } \nabla \text { ); Output(); } & & \square \nabla \\
\operatorname{Put}(3, \nabla \text { ); Output(); } & & \square \nabla \\
\operatorname{Put}(2, \square) ; \text { Output(); } & & \square \square
\end{array}
$$

Remark: Solutions need to be ordered with small distance between consecutive solutions
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- Input: Deterministic finite automaton $A$ on alphabet $\Sigma$
- Output: The words of its language $\mathrm{L}(A) \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$

We want to produce each word by editing the previous word. Questions:

- Can we find a distance bound $C \in \mathbb{N}$ and order $L(A)=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ such that $d\left(w_{i}, w_{i+1}\right) \leq C$ for all $i \geq 1$ ?
- Here, $d$ is the Levenshtein distance
- If yes, can we efficiently produce the sequence of edits?
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## Examples

a* $\quad \epsilon, a$, aa, aaa, $\ldots$
$a^{*} b^{*} \quad \epsilon, a, b, b b, a b, a a, a a a, a a b, a b b, b b b, \ldots$
$a^{*}(c+d) b^{*} c, d, a c, a d, c b, d b, c b b, d b b, a c b, a d b, a a c, a a d, \ldots$
$a^{*}+b^{*} \quad$ Not possible! (or you need two threads)
$(a+b)^{*} \epsilon, a, b, a b, a a, b a, b b, a b b, a b a, a a a, a a b, b a b, b a a, b b a, b b b, \ldots$ (Gray code)
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## Theorem

Given a DFA A, we can determine in PTIME whether its language $L(A)$ is orderable

- If yes, it suffices to use push-pop edit operations at the left and right endpoints
- Further, we can enumerate the infinite sequence of edit scripts in bounded delay (i.e., depending on $A$, not on word length)
- If not, we can decompose $L(A)=L\left(A_{1}\right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup L\left(A_{k}\right)$ in PTIME where each $L\left(A_{i}\right)$ is orderable and $k$ is minimal (and finite)

Also:

- Characterization if we only allow edits at the right endpoint (= stack, not deque)
- Finding the minimal distance bound is NP-hard
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## Proof techniques

Pleasant (and elementary): orderability


- Equivalence relation on loopable states
- Two loopable states are equivalent if they co-occur in a run
- Two loopable states are equivalent if some word can loop on both of them

Unpleasant (and exponential): enumeration


- Pointer machine model because memory usage goes to infinity
- Everything is exponential in the DFA
- Probably simplifiable...
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Thanks for your attention!

